

MP-208

Optimal Filtering with Aerospace Applications

Chapter 3: Parameter Estimation

Prof. Dr. Davi Antônio dos Santos
Instituto Tecnológico de Aeronáutica
www.professordavisantos.com

São José dos Campos - SP
2023

Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Least Squares
- 3 Maximum Likelihood
- 4 Maximum a Posteriori Probability
- 5 Minimum Mean Square Error
- 6 Cramér-Rao Lower Bound

Introduction...

Motivation:

In general, we are interested in two applications of parameter estimation techniques:

- **System Identification** – estimation of model parameters.
- **Sensor Calibration** – estimation of sensor parameters.

Approaches:

There are two approaches to parameter estimation:

- **Classical Approach:** The parameter to be estimated is modeled as an unknown deterministic constant.
- **Bayesian Approach:** The parameter to be estimated is modeled as a realization of a random variable. In this case, one is supposed to have *a priori* probabilistic information about the parameter.

Introduction

General Problem:

Consider a set of measures $\mathbf{y}_{1:N}$, with \mathbf{y}_i modeled by

$$\mathbf{y}_i = \mathbf{h}_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{v}_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{h}_i : \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is a known function and $\mathbf{v}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is an error vector. The vector $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ contains the unknown parameters that we want to estimate.

In general, the estimator $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ from $\mathbf{y}_{1:N}$ has the form

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{y}_{1:N}) \quad (2)$$

where \mathbf{g} is a function obtained according to an optimality criterion.

Criteria:

The usual criteria for parameter estimation are the following:

- Least Squares (Classical Approach)
- Maximum Likelihood (Classical Approach)
- Maximum *a Posteriori* Probability (Bayesian Approach)
- Minimum Mean Square Error (Bayesian Approach)

Least Squares. . .

Least Squares

Problem Definition:

Consider a set of measures $\mathbf{y}_{1:N}$, with $\mathbf{y}_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$ modeled by

$$\mathbf{y}_i = \mathbf{h}_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \mathbf{v}_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N \quad (3)$$

where $\mathbf{h}_i : \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is a known function and $\mathbf{v}_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is an additive error; $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ is the parameter vector.

Consider that $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ is an unknown constant \rightarrow Classical Approach

Least Squares

The **least-squares** estimator (LS) $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_N \in \mathbb{R}^p$ of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ from $\mathbf{y}_{1:N}$ is given by

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_N = \arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} J_N(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \quad (4)$$

where

$$J_N(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^N (\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{h}_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}))^T \mathbf{W}_i (\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{h}_i(\boldsymbol{\theta})) \quad (5)$$

and $\mathbf{W}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ is a weighting matrix.

Least Squares

Explicit Solution for the Linear Model:

Consider that (3) is a **linear model** in the form

$$\mathbf{y}_i = \mathbf{H}_i \boldsymbol{\theta} + \mathbf{v}_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N \quad (6)$$

In this case, the **LS estimator** defined in (4) is given explicitly by

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_N = \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{H}_i^T \mathbf{W}_i \mathbf{H}_i \right)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{H}_i^T \mathbf{W}_i \mathbf{y}_i \quad (7)$$

Remark: Note that we have not established any particular property for the measurement error \mathbf{v}_i , $i = 1, \dots, N$.

Maximum Likelihood...

Maximum Likelihood

Problem Definition:

Consider a set of measures $\mathbf{y}_{1:N}$, with $\mathbf{y}_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$ modeled by

$$\mathbf{y}_i = \mathbf{h}_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \mathbf{v}_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N \quad (8)$$

where $\mathbf{h}_i : \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is a known function and $\mathbf{v}_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is an additive error; $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ is the parameter vector.

Consider that $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ is an unknown constant \rightarrow Classical Approach

Maximum Likelihood

The **maximum likelihood (ML)** estimator $\hat{\theta}_N \in \mathbb{R}^p$ of θ from $\mathbf{y}_{1:N}$ is

$$\hat{\theta}_N = \arg \max_{\theta} \Lambda_N(\theta) \quad (9)$$

where $\Lambda_N(\theta) \in \mathbb{R}$ is the **likelihood function**, which is defined as the joint pdf of $\mathbf{Y}_{1:N}$ given the parameter θ , *i.e.*,

$$\Lambda_N(\theta) \triangleq f_{\mathbf{Y}_{1:N}}(\mathbf{y}_{1:N}; \theta) \quad (10)$$

Maximum Likelihood

Explicit Solution for the Linear Gaussian Model:

Consider that (8) is a **linear Gaussian model** in the form

$$\mathbf{y}_i = \mathbf{H}_i \boldsymbol{\theta} + \mathbf{v}_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N \quad (11)$$

where $\mathbf{v}_{1:N}$ is a realization of an **uncorrelated random sequence** $\mathbf{V}_{1:N}$, with marginal distribution $\mathbf{V}_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{R}_i)$, and $\mathbf{H}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times p}$ is a known matrix.

In this case, the **ML estimator** defined in (9) is given explicitly by

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_N = \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{H}_i^T \mathbf{R}_i^{-1} \mathbf{H}_i \right)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{H}_i^T \mathbf{R}_i^{-1} \mathbf{y}_i \quad (12)$$

Remark: Note that by choosing $\mathbf{W}_i = \mathbf{R}_i^{-1}$, the **LS estimator** given in (7) coincides with the **ML estimator** given in (12).

Maximum Likelihood

Properties:

Consider the estimation error RV $\tilde{\Theta}_N \triangleq \hat{\Theta}_N - \theta$. The ML estimator given in (12) has the following properties:

1 Bias:

$$E(\tilde{\Theta}_N) = \mathbf{0}$$

In this case, we say that estimator (12) is unbiased.

2 Covariance:

$$E(\tilde{\Theta}_N \tilde{\Theta}_N^T) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{H}_i^T \mathbf{R}_i^{-1} \mathbf{H}_i \right)^{-1}$$

Note that by making $N \rightarrow \infty$, the above expression goes to zero, i.e., $\hat{\Theta}_N \rightarrow \theta$ in the mean square (ms) sense. In this case, we say that the ML estimator is consistent.

Maximum a Posteriori Probability...

Maximum a Posteriori Probability

Problem Definition:

Consider a set of measures $\mathbf{y}_{1:N}$, with $\mathbf{y}_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$ modeled by

$$\mathbf{y}_i = \mathbf{h}_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \mathbf{v}_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N \quad (13)$$

where $\mathbf{h}_i : \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is a known function and $\mathbf{v}_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is an additive error modeled as a realization of a random vector \mathbf{V}_i ; $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ is the parameter vector.

Consider that $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ is a realization of a RV Θ with known pdf $f_{\Theta}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \rightarrow$
Bayesian Approach

Maximum a Posteriori Probability

The *Maximum a Posteriori Probability* (MAP) estimator $\hat{\theta}_N \in \mathbb{R}^P$ of θ from $\mathbf{y}_{1:N}$ is given by

$$\hat{\theta}_N = \arg \max_{\theta} f_{\Theta|\mathbf{Y}_{1:N}}(\theta|\mathbf{y}_{1:N}) \quad (14)$$

where $f_{\Theta|\mathbf{Y}_{1:N}}(\theta|\mathbf{y}_{1:N})$ is the *a posteriori pdf* given by the *Bayes Theorem*:

$$f_{\Theta|\mathbf{Y}_{1:N}}(\theta|\mathbf{y}_{1:N}) = \frac{f_{\mathbf{Y}_{1:N}|\Theta}(\mathbf{y}_{1:N}|\theta)f_{\Theta}(\theta)}{f_{\mathbf{Y}_{1:N}}(\mathbf{y}_{1:N})} \quad (15)$$

where $f_{\mathbf{Y}_{1:N}|\Theta}(\mathbf{y}_{1:N}|\theta)$ is the *likelihood function* of $\mathbf{Y}_{1:N}$ given $\{\Theta = \theta\}$, $f_{\Theta}(\theta)$ is the *a priori pdf* of Θ , and $f_{\mathbf{Y}_{1:N}}(\mathbf{y}_{1:N})$ is a normalizing factor.

Maximum a Posteriori Probability

Explicit Solution for the Linear Gaussian Model:

Consider that (13) is a **linear Gaussian model** in the form

$$\mathbf{y}_i = \mathbf{H}_i \boldsymbol{\theta} + \mathbf{v}_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N \quad (16)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ is the realization of a **RV** $\boldsymbol{\Theta} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\Theta}}, \mathbf{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Theta}})$, $\mathbf{v}_{1:N}$ is the realization of an **uncorrelated random sequence** $\mathbf{V}_{1:N}$ with marginal distribution $\mathbf{V}_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{R}_i)$, and $\mathbf{H}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times p}$ is a known matrix.

In this case, the **MAP estimator** (14) is given explicitly by

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_N = \mathbf{P}_N \mathbf{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Theta}}^{-1} \mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\Theta}} + \mathbf{P}_N \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{H}_i^T \mathbf{R}_i^{-1} \mathbf{y}_i \quad (17)$$

with

$$\mathbf{P}_N \triangleq \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{H}_i^T \mathbf{R}_i^{-1} \mathbf{H}_i + \mathbf{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Theta}}^{-1} \right)^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p} \quad (18)$$

Maximum a Posteriori Probability

Properties:

Consider the estimation error RV $\tilde{\Theta}_N \triangleq \hat{\Theta}_N - \Theta$. The MAP estimator given in (17) has the following properties:

1 Bias:

$$E(\tilde{\Theta}_N) = \mathbf{0}$$

In this case, estimator (17) is said to be unbiased.

2 Covariance: Define $\check{\Theta}_N \triangleq \hat{\Theta}_N - E(\hat{\Theta}_N)$. The covariance of $\hat{\Theta}_N$ is

$$E(\check{\Theta}_N \check{\Theta}_N^T) = \mathbf{P}_N \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{H}_i^T \mathbf{R}_i^{-1} \mathbf{P}_{Y_i} \mathbf{R}_i^{-1} \mathbf{H}_i \mathbf{P}_N$$

where $\mathbf{P}_{Y_i} = \mathbf{H}_i \mathbf{P}_\Theta \mathbf{H}_i^T + \mathbf{R}_i$.

Maximum a Posteriori Probability

3 Mean Square Error (MSE):

$$\begin{aligned} E \left(\tilde{\Theta}_N \tilde{\Theta}_N^T \right) &= \mathbf{P}_N \mathbf{P}_\Theta^{-1} \mathbf{m}_\Theta \mathbf{m}_\Theta^T \mathbf{P}_\Theta^{-1} \mathbf{P}_N + \mathbf{P}_1 \left(\mathbf{P}_\Theta + \mathbf{m}_\Theta \mathbf{m}_\Theta^T \right) \mathbf{P}_1 + \\ &\quad \mathbf{P}_N \mathbf{P}_\Theta^{-1} \mathbf{m}_\Theta \mathbf{m}_\Theta^T \mathbf{P}_1 + \mathbf{P}_1 \mathbf{m}_\Theta \mathbf{m}_\Theta^T \mathbf{P}_\Theta^{-1} \mathbf{P}_N + \\ &\quad \mathbf{P}_N \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{H}_i^T \mathbf{R}_i^{-1} \mathbf{H}_i \mathbf{P}_N \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathbf{P}_1 \triangleq \left(\mathbf{P}_N \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{H}_i^T \mathbf{R}_i^{-1} \mathbf{H}_i - \mathbf{I}_p \right)$$

Note that estimator (17) is **consistent**, since the MSE converges to $\mathbf{0}$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$, which is equivalent to say that $\hat{\Theta}_N \rightarrow \Theta$ (ms).

Minimum Mean Square Error ...

Minimum Mean Square Error

Problem Definition:

Consider a set of measures $\mathbf{y}_{1:N}$, with $\mathbf{y}_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$ modeled by

$$\mathbf{y}_i = \mathbf{h}_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \mathbf{v}_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N \quad (19)$$

where $\mathbf{h}_i : \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is a known function, and $\mathbf{v}_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is an additive error modeled as a realization of a RV; $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ is the parameter vector.

Consider that $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ is a realization of a RV Θ with known pdf $f_{\Theta}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \rightarrow$
Bayesian Approach

Minimum Mean Square Error

The **Minimum Mean Square Error** estimator (**MMSE**) $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_N \in \mathbb{R}^p$ of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ from $\mathbf{y}_{1:N}$ is given by

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_N = \arg \min_{\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}} E \left(\left(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\Theta} \right)^T \left(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\Theta} \right) \mid \mathbf{Y}_{1:N} \right) \quad (20)$$

Minimum Mean Square Error

General Solution:

We can show that, for any measurement model (19), the general solution to problem (20) is given by the **conditional mean**:

$$\hat{\theta}_N = E(\Theta | \mathbf{Y}_{1:N}) \quad (21)$$

which is calculated by means of an *a posteriori pdf* given by the **Bayes Theorem**:

$$f_{\Theta | \mathbf{Y}_{1:N}}(\theta | \mathbf{y}_{1:N}) = \frac{f_{\mathbf{Y}_{1:N} | \Theta}(\mathbf{y}_{1:N} | \theta) f_{\Theta}(\theta)}{f_{\mathbf{Y}_{1:N}}(\mathbf{y}_{1:N})} \quad (22)$$

where $f_{\mathbf{Y}_{1:N} | \Theta}(\mathbf{y}_{1:N} | \theta)$ is the **likelihood function** of $\mathbf{Y}_{1:N}$ given $\{\Theta = \theta\}$, $f_{\Theta}(\theta)$ is the *a priori pdf* of Θ , and $f_{\mathbf{Y}_{1:N}}(\mathbf{y}_{1:N})$ is a normalizing factor.

Minimum Mean Square Error

Explicit Solution for the Linear Gaussian Model:

Consider that (19) is a **linear Gaussian model** in the form

$$\mathbf{y}_i = \mathbf{H}_i \boldsymbol{\theta} + \mathbf{v}_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N \quad (23)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ is a realization of a RV $\Theta \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{m}_\Theta, \mathbf{P}_\Theta)$, $\mathbf{v}_{1:N}$ is a realization of an **uncorrelated random sequence** $\mathbf{V}_{1:N}$, with marginal distribution $\mathbf{V}_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{R}_i)$, and $\mathbf{H}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times p}$ is a known matrix.

In this case, the **MMSE estimator** (21) is given explicitly by

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_N = \mathbf{P}_N \mathbf{P}_\Theta^{-1} \mathbf{m}_\Theta + \mathbf{P}_N \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{H}_i^T \mathbf{R}_i^{-1} \mathbf{y}_i \quad (24)$$

where \mathbf{P}_N is the matrix defined in (18).

Minimum Mean Square Error

Properties:

The **MMSE estimator** (24) is identical to the **MAP estimator** (17). This is due to the Gaussianity of Θ conditioned on $\mathbf{Y}_{1:N}$, *i.e.*,

$$f_{\Theta|\mathbf{Y}_{1:N}}(\theta|\mathbf{y}_{1:N}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{m}_{\Theta|Y}, \mathbf{P}_{\Theta|Y}) \quad (25)$$

with

$$\mathbf{m}_{\Theta|Y} = \hat{\theta}_N \quad (26)$$

$$\mathbf{P}_{\Theta|Y} = \mathbf{P}_N \quad (27)$$

Therefore, **these estimators have the same properties.**

Cramér-Rao Lower Bound...

Cramér-Rao Lower Bound

For Deterministic Parameters:

In this case, the **Cramér-Rao Lower Bound (CRLB)** says that the covariance (or **MSE**) of an **unbiased estimator** is lower limited according to:

$$E \left(\left(\hat{\Theta}_N - \theta \right) \left(\hat{\Theta}_N - \theta \right)^T \right) \geq \mathbf{J}^{-1} \quad (28)$$

where \mathbf{J} is the **Fisher information matrix**, which is defined as

$$\mathbf{J} \triangleq -E \left(\nabla_{\theta} \nabla_{\theta}^T \ln \Lambda_N(\theta) \right) \quad (29)$$

$$= E \left(\left(\nabla_{\theta} \ln \Lambda_N(\theta) \right) \left(\nabla_{\theta} \ln \Lambda_N(\theta) \right)^T \right) \quad (30)$$

where $\Lambda_N(\theta)$ is the **likelihood function** (defined in [slide 11](#)).

Cramér-Rao Lower Bound

For Random Parameters:

In this case, the **CRLB** has the same form of (28)–(30), however:

- instead of the deterministic vector θ , it considers the RV Θ and
- the likelihood function is the following conditional pdf:

$$\Lambda_N(\Theta) = f_{\mathbf{Y}_{1:N}|\Theta}(\mathbf{Y}_{1:N}|\Theta)$$

Remark:

Note that in the deterministic case, the expectations in (29)–(30) are taken along $\mathbf{Y}_{1:N}$. In the random case, these expectations are taken along $\mathbf{Y}_{1:N}$ and Θ .

Cramér-Rao Lower Bound

Linear Gaussian Model with Deterministic Parameters:

Consider the **linear Gaussian model**

$$\mathbf{y}_i = \mathbf{H}_i \boldsymbol{\theta} + \mathbf{v}_i \in \mathbb{R}^m, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N \quad (31)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ is an unknown deterministic vector, $\mathbf{v}_{1:N}$ is a realization of an **uncorrelated random sequence** $\mathbf{V}_{1:N}$, with marginal distribution $\mathbf{V}_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{R}_i)$, and $\mathbf{H}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times p}$ is a known matrix.

In this case, the **Fisher information matrix** is given by

$$\mathbf{J} = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{H}_i^T \mathbf{R}_i^{-1} \mathbf{H}_i \quad (32)$$

Note that \mathbf{J} is **equal to** the inverse of the covariance of the **ML estimator**. Because the covariance of the ML estimator reaches its lower bound, we say that it is **efficient**.

References. . .



Bar-Shalom, Y.; Li, X.R.; Kirubarajan, T. **Estimation with Applications to Tracking and Navigation**. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 2001.